

2014/SAR/235/322



NATIONAL OIL SPILL DETECTION AND RESPONSE AGENCY
JOINT INVESTIGATION VISIT (JIV) FORM

Note: This JIV form is to be completed and signed by all participating parties in the field

1. Company: NAOC

2. Type of Complaint/Incident:

- ☒ Oil Pollution ☐ Fire/Explosion ☐ Drilling Mud/Chemical Pollution
☐ Others (Specify)

3. Incident Details

- i. Date of Incident: 14/04/14 ii. Date first reported: 14/04/14
iii. Date of first investigation: 15/04/14
iv. Date of follow-up investigation:
v. Time investigation started: 11:20 Hrs
vi. Estimated quantity spilled: 0.628 BBL

4. Site Details

- i. Site/Location: 18" TEB/BRASS P/L @ OYERECUBENE (36)
ii. Position of Spill/Leak: N04° 29' 47.4" E 006° 04' 32.7"

iii. Spill area

- ☐ Land ☒ Swamp ☐ Freshwater ☐ Mangrove ☐ Coastline
☐ Near shore ☐ Offshore ☐ Others (Specify)

iv. Structural Controls in Place

- ☐ Boom ☐ Trenches ☐ Bund Wall ☐ Sorbents ☐ Others (Specify) N/A

5. Circumstances Around Spill Point

i. Visual observation of Hole Position

- ☒ 12 0'Clock ☐ 10 0'Clock ☐ 2 0'Clock ☐ 3 0'Clock
☐ 4 0'Clock ☐ 5 0'Clock ☐ 6 0'Clock

ii. Type of Oil Contaminant

- ☒ Crude Oil ☐ Condensate ☐ Chemicals ☐ Refined Products
☐ Others (Specify)

iii. Facility

- ☒ Pipeline ☐ Flow Line ☐ Wellhead ☐ Manifold ☐ Flow Station ☐ Rig
☐ Storage Tank ☐ Compressor Plant
☐ Others (Specify)

iv. Cause of Spill

- ☐ Corrosion ☐ Equipment Failure ☒ Third Party Interference ☐ Accident
☐ Operational Error ☐ Others (Specify)

v. Visible Sign of Third Party Interference

- ☐ Hacksaw Marks ☐ Drilled Holes ☐ Blasting ☒ Theft ☐ Acid
☐ Others (Specify)

6. Impact of Incident

i. Properties Impacted by the incident

- ☐ Farmland ☐ Fish Pond ☒ Vegetation ☐ Fishing Net ☒ Surface water
☐ Venerable Objects ☐ Others (Specify)

ii. Nature of impact

- ☒ Oil stained vegetation ☐ Oil stained fishing nets ☐ Dead floating fishes
☐ Dead floating crabs ☐ Withering of vegetation ☐ Others (Specify)

iii. Delineation of Impacted area

- ☒ Within Company's facility/ROW ☒ Outside Company's facility/ROW

7. Extent of Impact

(a) Community (Indicate name)

- i.
ii.
iii.
iv.

(b) Land (Indicate area in m²)

- i.
ii.
iii.
iv.

(c) Creek/Creek lets ☐ Tidal ☐ Non-tidal

NAME	DIRECTION OF SLICK	LENGTH OF SLICK	WIDTH OF SLICK

(d) Swamp ☐ Tidal ☐ Non-tidal

NAME	DIRECTION OF SLICK	LENGTH OF SLICK	WIDTH OF SLICK

(e) River ☐ Tidal ☐ Non-tidal

NAME	DIRECTION OF SLICK	LENGTH OF SLICK	WIDTH OF SLICK

(f) Shoreline/water ☐ Tidal ☐ Non-tidal

NAME	DIRECTION OF SLICK	LENGTH OF SLICK	WIDTH OF SLICK

1235/277

8. Photograph/Map/Chart Ref.

☒ Still photographs

☐ Video coverage

☒ Mapping

9. Samples taken.....

N/A

10. Investigation carried out by

☒ Foot

☒ Boat

☐ Aircraft

11. Remarks/Recommendation.....

Repair completed. Waco should improve on their surveillance also mapped the stained area within the spike point.

12. Time Investigation ended.....

4:12 PM